EPP Data Analysis of R4.1a

Teacher Leader Effectiveness (TLE) Assessment (Completer Data)

Teacher Leader Effectiveness (TLE) Assessment (Measure 2)

Teacher Leader Effectiveness (TLE) Assessment: Oklahoma public schools are required to use the Teacher Leader Effectiveness (TLE) assessment for the evaluation of teachers. There are two forms of the TLE: The Tulsa Model and the Marzano model. The data from the TLF assessment of completers of the EPP in their first year of teaching are provided to the EPP by the Office of Educational Quality and Accountability (OEQA). That state agency receives the data from the Oklahoma State Department of Education as all assessment data from the TLE for each public-school teacher are submitted to the state department.

Administering the TLE: First year teachers are evaluated in the fall semester and the spring semester. The data from the evaluation are provided to the EPP in late summer to fall term.

Summary Data in this document are from one year are reported in aggregated scores (by levels of effectiveness, i.e. levels of performance per the evaluation instrument). The "N" for each level of effectiveness for the EPP completers are provided. Data are also reported based upon InTASC clusters (see table below) and the average of the EPP completers by those clusters.

Note: The TLE data are not presented to the EPP by program. The TLE data for completers in their first year of teaching are reviewed annually by the Education and Professional Programs Committee- EPPC. The EPPC is responsible for academic and annual review of EPP data. Upon review of the data, the EPPC committee makes recommendations to the EPP for programmatic changes and continuous improvements.

Based on analysis of the TLE Data the following is note:

TLE Evaluation Year	2019
Number of Completers Evaluated	8
Average of Scores	3.73
Range of Averages	3.25 – 4.00
Superior 4.21 – 5.00	0
Highly Effective 3.41-4.20	6
Effective 2.61-3.40	2
Improvement Needed 1.81-2.60	0
Ineffective 1.00 - 1.80	0

Data below displays analysis by Domains provided: Total 8 – 1 Leadership, 2 Marzano & 5 TLE Assessment

Domain 1	Domain 2	Domain 3	Domain 4	Domain 5	Domain 6	Indicator	Indicator	Indicator	Indicator
						Score 1	Score 2	Score 3	Score 4
Responses-	Responses-	Responses-	Responses-	Responses-	Responses-	Responses-	Responses-	Responses-	Responses-
3 TLE	3 TLE & 1	3 TLE	3 TLE	3 TLE	No	3 TLE & 2	3 TLE	3 TLE & 1	3 TLE & 2
Evaluations	Marzano	Evaluations	Evaluations	Evaluations	Responses	Marzano	evaluations	Marzano	Marzano
Category:	Evaluations	Category:	Category:	Category:		evaluations	Category:	evaluations	evaluations
Classroom	Category:	Professional	Interpersonal	Leadership		Category:	Discipline	Category:	Category:
Management		Growth &	Skills	Average		Preparation	Average	Climate 5	Lesson Plans
Average	Instruction	Continuous	Average	score: 3.33		& Planning	score: 4.0	CM Planning	& Identifying
score: 4.11	Average	Improvement	score: 3.66			Standards-		to Close the	Critical
	score: 3.7	Average				Based		Achievement	Content from
		score: 3.66				Lessons/Units		Gap Using	the Standards
						Average		Data	Average
						score: 3.8		Average	score: 4.0 TLE
								score: 4.0	& 3.5
									Marzano

| Indicator |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Score 5 | Score 6 | Score 7 | Score 8 | Score 9 | Score 10 | Score 11 | Score 12 | Score 13 | Score 14 |
| Responses- |
3 TLE	3 TLE	3 TLE & 1	3 TLE & 1	3 TLE & 1	3 TLE	3 TLE	3 TLE	3 TLE	3 TLE & 1
Evaluations	Evaluations	Marzano	Marzano	Marzano	Evaluations	Evaluations	Evaluations	Evaluations	Marzano
Category:	Category:	Evaluations	Evaluations	Evaluations	Category:	Category:	Category:	Category:	Evaluations
Assessment	Student	Category:	Category:	Category:	Explains	Directions	Models	Monitors	Category:
Average	Relations	Literacy &	Standards	Involves	Content	Average	Average	Average	Adjusts &
score: 4.33	Average	Using	&	Learners &	Average	score: 4.0	score: 4.0	score: 4.0	Using
	score: 4.33	Questions	Reviewing	Helping	score: 4.0				Formative
		to Help	Content	Students					Assessment
		Students	Average	Practice					to Track
		Elaborate	score: 3.75	Skills,					Progress
		on Content		Strategies,					Average
		Average		and					score: 4.0
		score: 4.33		Processes					
				Average					
				score: 4.0					

Indicator Score	Indicator Score 16	Indicator Score 17	Indicator Score 18	Indicator Score 19	Indicator Score 20
15					
Responses-	Responses-	Responses-	Responses-	Responses- 3 TLE & 1	Responses- 3 TLE
3 TLE	3 TLE Evaluations	3 TLE Evaluations	3 TLE & 2 Marzano	Marzano Evaluations	Evaluations
Evaluations	Category:	Category:	Evaluations	Category:	Category: Professional
Category:	Student	Professional	Category:	Effective Interpersonal	Involvement &
Closure	Achievement	Development	Professional	Skills & Establishing and	Leadership Average
Average score:	Average score:	Average score: 3.66	Accountability & Using	Maintaining Effective	score: 3.33
4.0	3.66		Engagement Strategies	Relationships in a	
			Average score: 3.4	Student-Centered	
				Classroom	
				Average score: 4.0	

Furthermore, analyzing of LU EPP completers disaggregated data (from the charts above) displays the following summative information in noted assessment categories:

- **Classroom Management**, 3 TLE evaluation average scores were 4.11
- **♣ Instruction, Average**, 3 TLE & 1 Marzano evaluations average scores were 3.7
- **Adjusts & Using Formative Assessment to Track Progress**, average scores were 4.0
- Preparation & Planning Standards-Based Lessons/Units, 3 TLE & 2 Marzano evaluations average scores were 3.8
- **Assessment**, 3 TLE Evaluations and the average scores were 4.33
- Literacy & Using Questions to Help Students Elaborate on Content, 3 TLE & 1 Marzano Evaluations and the average scores were: 4.33
- ♣ Involves Learners & Helping Students Practice Skills, Strategies, and Processes, 3 TLE & 1 Marzano Evaluations and the average scores were 4.0
- **♣ Models**, 3 TLE & 1 Marzano evaluations average scores were 4.0
- ♣ Professional Accountability & Using Engagement Strategies, 3 TLE & 2 Marzano evaluations average scores were 3.4
- ♣ In summary, based on the TLE data, LU EPP completers, LU EPP completers are assessed at the "Effective - 2.61-3.40" or "Highly Effective 3.41-4.20' levels rated by their supervisors/ employer in all categories, and no completers were in the "Improvement Needed" or below level.

^{*}Note- Data provided by the Oklahoma State Department of Education included a fraction of completers evaluated by using the Marzano instrument (and some of the data were incomplete and inconsistent per completer across items reported).